



# **Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council Initial Funded Priorities List (FPL)**

**Lessons Learned and Path Forward Webinar**



## Goals:

- Seek public input on Initial FPL Process
- Identify key lessons
- Inform the update of the Comprehensive Plan
- Improve future FPLs




# Presentation Topics

- Overview of RESTORE Act & funding
- Initial Comprehensive Plan & Funded Priorities List Process
- FPL Review – Key Questions
  - FPL Process
  - Public Involvement
  - Science & Measuring Success



# RESTORE Act: Passed in 2012

## Allocation of Gulf Coast Restoration Trust Fund



**\*Supplemented by interest generated by the Trust Fund (50% to Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council, 25% to Science Program, 25% to Centers of Excellence)**



# Clean Water Act Penalties

## **Settlement with Transocean for \$1 Billion Clean Water Act (CWA) civil penalties**

- \$800 Million plus interest available in Trust Fund

## **On November 30, 2015 Anadarko Petroleum, Inc. was ordered to pay \$159.5 Million CWA Civil Penalties**

- \$127.7 Million has been paid into the Trust Fund

## **On April 4, 2016, the court in the Eastern District of Louisiana entered a Consent Decree resolving civil claims against BP**

- \$5.5 Billion CWA penalty
- \$4.4 Billion plus interest to Trust Fund
- Payable over a 15-year period



# Bucket 2 Requirements

**Council to restore the Gulf “without regard to geographic location”**


## **4 Priority Criteria from the Act:**

- Greatest contribution to restoring & protecting natural resources
- Large-scale
- Build upon existing restoration plans or programs
- Long-term resilience to areas most impacted by the DWH oil spill



# Goals of the Initial Comprehensive Plan

1. Restore and Conserve Habitat
2. Restore Water Quality
3. Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources
4. Enhance Community Resilience
5. Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy



Published August 2013



# FPL Development Process

Comprehensive  
Plan

Solicitation  
To Members

50 Proposals  
Submitted =  
380 components

## Vetting:

- Science Evaluation
- Environmental Compliance
- Priority & Commitment to Plan Evaluation

Development of portfolios  
of projects leading to  
publication of Draft FPL for  
public review and comment

## Draft Funded Priorities List

- 10 watersheds
- Gulf-wide Foundational Projects
- \$156.6M




# Previous Public Meetings on Draft FPL

- Available for comment from August 13 through September 28, 2015.
- 7 Public meetings and a Tribal engagement session.





# Draft FPL Public Input



Robert Smith/Photobiologist.com

- **Vast majority of public comments were supportive**
- **Public comments focused on:**
  - Watershed/Estuary Approach
  - Implementation-Ready Projects
  - Habitat Conservation
  - Water Quality and Quantity
  - Science and Monitoring
  - Specific Projects and Programs
  - Comprehensive Plan Update



# Initial Funded Priorities List – December 2015



Photo courtesy of the State of Florida

- **\$156.6m in restoration activities**
  - 10 key watersheds
  - Gulf-wide programs
  - Foundational
  - Highly-Leveraged
- **Prioritizes 12 activities for possible future funding (\$26.6m)**



# Looking Forward

## In 2016, the Council will:

- Consider amending the Initial FPL to include more implementation-ready projects
- Begin issuing grants and interagency agreements for FPL activities
- ***Review Initial Comprehensive Plan & FPL process/initiate the update of the Comprehensive Plan***



# Path Forward

- **Two Components:**
  - Member Feedback
  - Public Webinars
- Today the Council is seeking your feedback on questions that review the work done up until now
- Council staff will evaluate member and public input for use in future FPL processes



# Feedback Polling Instructions

**To solicit feedback from the webinar audience we will now ask a series of multiple choice questions.** Registered webinar attendees can provide answers via interactive polling through the computer.

- Following this screen, the first question will appear.
- Council staff will read the question out loud, and then the polling period will begin.
- Please select the option (or options) that best fits your answer.
- Press Submit.
- You will have 2 minutes to respond to each question.
- You will not be able to change your response once you have pressed submit.



# Feedback Polling Instructions

In addition to selecting from the multiple choice poll options, you may also submit additional comments in the “Question” box in your GoToWebinar Control Panel. This panel is likely on the top right corner of your screen. An example of where to enter comments is shown below.

Click here to Open Questions box

Enter comments here

GoToWebinar Control Panel

Audio

Telephone

Mic & Speakers

MUTED

Talking: GCERC Staff

Questions

Type question here.

Send

Demo

Webinar ID# 107-821-931

GoToWebinar

## Note:

- Other attendees will not be able to view your comments.
- Council staff will read some of the comments (anonymously).
- Council staff will track polling and keep a record of all comments provided.



# Polling in Progress

- How would you characterize the Council's FPL development process? [\(Select one\)](#)
  - Adequate for future FPLs/no change needed
  - Minor adjustments needed
  - Major overhaul needed
  - Unsure/No opinion
- What are some areas where the FPL process could have been improved?  
[\(Please select all that apply\)](#)
  - Project development
  - Best Available Science
  - Public input/transparency
  - Project selection
  - No improvement needed



## FPL Process (continued)

- The Council used a watershed- and estuary-based approach for this FPL. Should the Council use this approach for future FPLs? [\(Select one\)](#)
  - Yes
  - No
  - Other
  - Unsure/No opinion
- In the future, what factors should Council members consider as they develop proposals? [\(Please select all that apply\)](#)
  - Integration with other Gulf restoration programs
  - Opportunities for larger-scale restoration
  - Areas of greatest ecosystem need
  - Opportunities to partner with other Council members
  - Other



# Public Engagement

- Was the process for involving the public in the FPL process effective?  
(Select one)
  - Yes
  - No
  - Partially (please use Questions box to clarify)
  - Unsure/No opinion
- What other actions could have been taken to create a more open and transparent process? (Please select all that apply)
  - More time for public review of the draft FPL
  - Additional opportunities for public review and comment
  - More effective writing and on-line materials
  - Other
  - Unsure/No opinion



# Science & Measuring Success

- How would you characterize the Council's science review process? [\(Select one\)](#)
  - Adequate for future FPLs/no change needed
  - Minor adjustments needed
  - Major overhaul needed
  - Unsure/No opinion
  
- How could the process be improved? [\(Please select all that apply\)](#)
  - More effective reviewer questions
  - Score/rank projects scientifically, e.g., high, medium, low
  - Use an Expert Panel or Committee
  - More effectively identify potential project interactions
  - Unsure/No opinion



## Science & Measuring Success (Cont.)

- How should the Council show its work has been effective?  
(Please select all that apply)
  - Measure success at the project level  
(e.g. # of acres created, acres of oyster reef, etc.)
  - Measure cumulative impacts of projects at a larger scale  
(e.g. watershed, estuary, or ecoregion, etc.)
  - Other
  - Unsure/No opinion



## Other...

- What important question(s) have not been asked?
- What key lesson is missing?



# Webinar Feedback

- Do you like this webinar and polling format for providing feedback on Council activities?
  - \_\_\_ Yes
  - \_\_\_ No (please use Question box to provide comments)
  - \_\_\_ Some/Other (please use Question box to provide comments)

# Thank You

For More Information Visit:  
[www.RestoreTheGulf.gov](http://www.RestoreTheGulf.gov)

