



# SCIENCE EVALUATION

Bucket 2 – Council Selected Restoration Component

PROPOSAL TITLE

LOCATION

SPONSOR(S)

TYPE OF FUNDING REQUESTED (Planning, Technical Assistance, Implementation)

REVIEWED BY:

DATE:

## Best Available Science:

*These 6 factors/elements help frame the reviewers answers to A, B and C found in next section:*

1. Have the proposal objectives, including methods used, been justified using peer reviewed and/or publicly available information?

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Comments

**2. If information supporting the proposal does not directly pertain to the Gulf Coast region, are applicant's methods reasonably supported and adaptable to that geographic area?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Comments

**3. Are the literature sources used to support the proposal accurately and completely cited?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Comments

**4. Are the literature sources represented in a fair and unbiased manner?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Comments

**5. Does the proposal evaluate uncertainties and risks in the scientific basis for the proposal, including any identified by the public and Council members?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Comments

6. Does the proposal evaluate uncertainties and risks in achieving its objectives over time? (e.g., is there an uncertainty or risk that in 5-10 years the project/program will be obsolete or not function as planned given projections of sea level rise?)

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Comments

---

**Based on the answers to the previous 6 questions, and giving deference to the sponsor to provide within reason the use of best available science the following three questions can be answered:**

**A. Has the applicant made a reasonable determination that the proposal is based on science that uses peer-reviewed and publicly available data?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Information Needed:

**B. Has the applicant made a reasonable determination that the proposal is based on science that maximizes the quality, objectivity, and integrity of information (including, as applicable, statistical information)?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Information Needed:

**C. Has the applicant made a reasonable determination that the proposal is based on science that clearly documents and communicates risks and uncertainties in the scientific basis for such projects?**

YES

NO

NEED MORE INFORMATION

Information Needed:

---

## Science Context Evaluation

**A. Have other methods been discussed and reasons provided to why the method is being selected (e.g., scientifically sound; cost-effectiveness)?**

**B. Has your agency/vendor/project manager conducted a project/program like the one proposed?**

**C. Is there a risk mitigation plan in place for project objectives? (captures risk measures as defined under best available science by the Comprehensive Plan and Act)**

**D. Does the project/program consider consequences with implementation? (captures risk measures as defined under best available science by the Comprehensive Plan and Act)**

**E. Does the project/program have clearly defined goals?**

**F. Does the project/program have clearly defined objectives?**

**G. Does the project/program have measures of success? (captures statistical information requirement as defined by the Comprehensive Plan and Act)**

**H. Is a monitoring program in place to determine project goals, success and help adaptive management (if applicable)? (captures statistical information requirement as defined by the Comprehensive Plan and Act)**

**I. Does the project/program consider recent and/or relevant information? (captures statistical information requirement as defined by the Comprehensive Plan and Act)**

**J. Has the project/program evaluated past successes and failures of similar efforts? (captures the communication of risks and uncertainties in the scientific basis for such projects as defined by the Comprehensive Plan and Act)**

---

**Please summarize any additional information needed below:**